

Positivity of divisors

Setting: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X \text{ complete scheme } / \mathbb{C} \\ L \text{ line bundle on } X \end{array} \right.$

§1. Ample line bundles

Def 1 (very ample, ample line bundle)

• Call L is very ample if $\exists$ a closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$

such that

$$L = \mathcal{O}_X(1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \iota^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)|_X$$

• Call L is ample if $L^{\otimes m}$ is very ample for some $m > 0$.

• a Cartier divisor $D \subset X$ is ample/very ample if the corresponding line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is so.

Example (ample line bundles on curves)

C : irreducible curve

L : line bundle on C

then L ample $\iff \deg L > 0$.

Pf " $\Rightarrow$ " if L ample, then $L^{\otimes m} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)|_C$ for some $m > 0$

$$\deg L > 0 \iff \deg \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)|_C > 0$$

" $\Leftarrow$ " if $\deg L > 0$, then for $n \gg 0$, $\deg L^{\otimes n} \geq 2g(C) + 1$

$\Rightarrow L^{\otimes n}$ very ample

$\Rightarrow L$ ample. $\square$

The amplitude/ampleness can be detected cohomologically.

THEOREM (Cartan-Serre-Grothendieck theorem)

L a line bundle on a complete scheme X . TFAE

① L ample

② (Serre vanishing) given $\forall$ coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X , $\exists \overset{m_1(\mathcal{F})}{m_1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that the higher cohomology groups vanish

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m}) = 0 \text{ for } \forall i > 0 \text{ \& } \forall m \geq m_1.$$

③ given $\forall$ coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X , $\exists m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ s.t.

$\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m}$ is generated by global sections for $\forall m \geq m_2$.

④ $\exists m_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ s.t. $L^{\otimes m}$ very ample for $\forall m \geq m_3$.

Sketch of proof

① $\Rightarrow$ ②

L ample $\Rightarrow L^{\otimes m_0}$ very ample for some $m_0 > 0$

Write
 $m = km_0 + l$
 $0 \leq l \leq m_0 - 1$

$\exists$ closed embedding $\iota: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ s.t. $L^{\otimes m_0} = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m}) \cong H^i(\mathbb{P}^N, \iota_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes l} \otimes L^{\otimes km_0}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(k))$$

$$\cong H^i(\mathbb{P}^N, \iota_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes l}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(k))$$

// (Serre)

$\circ$ ~~for $m \gg 0$~~

which holds for each $0 \leq l \leq m_0 - 1$ & ~~$k \geq k_0$~~ $k \geq k_0$ (given by Serre vanishing for cohomology on $\mathbb{P}^N$) Put $m_1 = (1+k_0)m_0$

② $\Rightarrow$ ③

Fix a point $x \in X$,

$$\mathfrak{m}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{x,x} \text{ maximal ideal}$$

Consider the maximal ideal sheaf associated to this ideal

$$\mathfrak{m}_x(U) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_x(U), & x \notin U \\ \{s \in \mathcal{O}_x(U) \mid s_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x\}, & x \in U \end{cases}$$

$\therefore \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{m}_x) \hookrightarrow X$

$$\text{In fact, } \mathfrak{m}_x = \ker(\mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{m}_x)})$$

Consider the exact seq.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_x \cdot \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathfrak{m}_x \cdot \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

twisted by $L^{\otimes m}$

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}_x \cdot \mathcal{F}) \otimes L^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathfrak{m}_x \cdot \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m} \rightarrow 0$$

by ②, $\exists m_2 = m_2(\mathcal{F}, x)$ s.t. $H^i(\mathfrak{m}_x \cdot \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m}) = 0$ for $m \geq m_2$

$$\Rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}/\mathfrak{m}_x \cdot \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m})$$

ie. $\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m}$ is globally generated in a neighborhood of x for $\forall m \geq m_2$

By quasi-compactness,

Can choose a uniform positive integer m_2 working for $\forall x \in X$.

③ $\Rightarrow$ ④

By ③, $\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ s.t. $L^{\otimes m}$ globally generated for $\forall m \geq k_1$

Consider the morphism defined by $|L^{\otimes m}|$

$$\varphi_m: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{h^0(L^{\otimes m})-1}$$

Claim: for $m \gg 0$, φ_m is a closed embedding.

Suffices to show φ_m is injective & unramified [II Prop 7.3]

To this end, consider the set

$$U_m := \{y \in X \mid L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_y \text{ is globally generated}\}$$

It is an open set & $U_m \subseteq U_{m+k}$ for $k \geq k_1$.

Given $\forall x \in X$,

by ③, can find integer $m_2(x)$ s.t. $x \in U_m$ for $m \geq m_2(x)$

$$\Rightarrow X = \bigcup U_m$$

By quasi-compactness $\exists$ a single integer $m_3 \geq k_1$ s.t.

$L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$ is generated by its global sections for $\forall x \in X$

whenever $m \geq m_3$.

$L^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$ globally generated $\Rightarrow \varphi_m(x) \neq \varphi_m(x')$ for $\forall x \neq x'$

φ_m unramified at x



φ_m closed embedding for $\forall m \geq m_3$

④ $\Rightarrow$ ① by definition.

Proposition (ampleness under finite pullbacks)

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ finite morphism of complete schemes

L : ample line bundle on Y

then f^*L is an ample line bundle on X .

In particular, if $X \subseteq Y$ a subscheme, then $L|_X$ is ample.

Pf let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on X .

$$f_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes f^* L^{\otimes m}) \underset{\text{p.f.}}{\cong} f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m}$$

$$f \text{ finite} \Rightarrow R^j f_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes f^* L^{\otimes m}) = 0 \text{ for } \forall j > 0.$$



$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes f^* L^{\otimes m}) = H^i(Y, f_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes f^* L^{\otimes m}))$$



$$H^i(Y, f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m})$$

for each $i \geq 0$.

$\swarrow$ Cartan-Serre-Grothendieck

f^*L ample on X



Prop (detecting ampleness reduced to reduced & irred. var.)

X Complete scheme, L line bundle on X . Then

(1) L ample on $X \iff L$ ample on X_{red}

(2) L ample on $X \iff L|_{X_i}$ ample on X_i for each irreducible component $X_i \subseteq X$.

Pf (1) " $\Rightarrow$ " $\left. \begin{array}{l} X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X \text{ finite morphism} \\ L \text{ ample on } X \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow L \text{ ample on } X_{\text{red}}$

" $\Leftarrow$ " If L ample on X_{red} , we use the Serre vanishing to prove the ampleness of L on X .

Fix a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X , let $\mathcal{N}$ be the nilradical of $\mathcal{O}_X$, say $\mathcal{N}^r = 0$ for some $r \geq 0$.

Consider the filtration

$$\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{N} \cdot \mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{N}^2 \cdot \mathcal{F} \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{N}^r \cdot \mathcal{F} = 0$$

the subquotients $\mathcal{N}^i \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{N}^{i+1} \mathcal{F}$ are coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{red}}}$ -modules.

$$\begin{array}{c} L \text{ ample} \\ \text{on } X_{\text{red}} \end{array} \Rightarrow H^j(X_{\text{red}}, (\mathcal{N}^i \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{N}^{i+1} \mathcal{F}) \otimes L^{\otimes m}) = 0 \text{ for } j > 0 \text{ \& } m \gg 0$$

$$\parallel$$

$$H^j(X, (\mathcal{N}^i \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{N}^{i+1} \mathcal{F}) \otimes L^{\otimes m})$$

Consider exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{i+1} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^i \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^i \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{N}^{i+1} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

twisted by $L^{\otimes m}$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{i+1} \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^i \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^i \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{N}^{i+1} \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m} \rightarrow 0$$

taking cohomology, we find by descending induction on i that

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{N}^i \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m}) = 0 \text{ for } j > 0 \text{ \& } m \gg 0.$$

In particular, take $i=0$, we obtain the desired vanishing result.

(2) " $\Rightarrow$ " $\left. \begin{array}{l} X_i \hookrightarrow X \\ L \text{ ample on } X \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow L \text{ ample on } X_i$

" $\Leftarrow$ " Based on item (1), we may assume X reduced, say

$$X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_r$$

By hypothesis, $L|_{X_i}$ ample for each i .

Fix a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X , & let I be the

ideal sheaf of X_i in X & consider the s.e.s

$$0 \rightarrow I \cdot \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/I \cdot \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

The outer terms

$I \cdot \mathcal{F}$ supported on $X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_r$

$\mathcal{F}/I \cdot \mathcal{F}$ supported on X_i

So by induction on # of irr. components of X , WMA

$$H^j(X, I \cdot \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m}) = H^j(X, (\mathcal{F}/I \cdot \mathcal{F}) \otimes L^{\otimes m}) = 0$$

for $j > 0$ & $m \gg 0$.

It then follows from above s.e.s. that

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{\otimes m}) = 0 \text{ for } j > 0 \text{ \& } m \gg 0.$$

□

Recall that Suppose X ^{complete} Variety / Scheme, L line bundle on X (over $\mathbb{C}$)

$V \subset H^0(X, L)$ a non-zero finite-dim'l subspace

$|V| = \mathbb{P}(V)$ called a linear system/series

Evaluation of sections in V gives rise to a morph. of v.b.

$$\text{ev} : V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$$

Def the base ideal of $|V|$, $\mathfrak{b}(|V|) := \text{Image}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$

the base locus of $|V|$, $Bs(|V|) = \text{Supp}(\mathcal{O}_X / \mathfrak{b}(|V|))$

↑
closed subset of X cut out by the base ideal.

Def We say the linear system is free (or basepoint-free) if its base locus is empty, i.e. $\mathfrak{b}(|V|) = \mathcal{O}_X$.

A divisor D or line bundle L is free if $|D|$ or $|L|$ is so.

We also say L is generated by its global sections /

globally generated if $|L|$ free i.e. $H^0(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$

i.e. $|L|$ bpf

Prop (globally generated line bundles)

Suppose that L is globally generated. let

$$\varphi = \varphi_{|L|} : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{h^0(L)-1}$$

be the morphism defined by $|L|$.

then L is ample $\iff \varphi$ is a finite morphism

$$\iff L \cdot C > 0 \text{ for } \forall \text{ irred. curve } C \subset X.$$

pf. If φ finite
 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ ample $\} \Rightarrow L = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ ample

$$\Rightarrow L \cdot C = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \cdot C = \deg C > 0$$

• If φ not finite.

then $\exists$ positive-dim' subvar. $Z \subset X$ s.t. $\varphi(Z) = \text{pt}$

$$L = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \xrightarrow{\quad} L|_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_Z$$

for $\forall$ irred. curve $C \subset Z$
 $L \cdot C = 0$

not ample
 $\downarrow$
 L not ample

The ampleness is characterized numerically:

THEOREM (Nakai-Moishezon-Kleiman criterion)

L line bundle on a projective scheme X

$$\text{then } L \text{ ample} \iff \int_Y c_i(L)^{\dim Y} > 0 \text{ for } \forall \text{ positive-dim'}$$

$\text{irred. subvar. } Y \subseteq X$
 (including irred. components of X)

Cor (Ampleness under finite pullbacks)

$f : X \longrightarrow Y$ finite, surjective morph. of projective schemes
 L line bundle on Y
 If f^*L ample on X , then L ample on Y .

pf let $V \subseteq Y$ be an irred. subvariety.

f surjective, $\Rightarrow \exists$ irred. var. $W \subseteq X$ mapping finitely onto V
 (starting with $f^{-1}(V)$, construct W by taking irred. components & cutting down by general hyperplanes)

$$\text{then } \int_W (f^*L)^{\dim W} \stackrel{\text{p.f.}}{=} \deg(W/V) \cdot \int_V L^{\dim V} > 0 \stackrel{\text{NMK}}{\implies} L \text{ ample on } Y.$$

Nakai-Moishezon Criterion

THEOREM (Nakai-Moishezon Criterion)

a divisor D on a surface S is ample if and only if
 $D^2 > 0$ & $D \cdot C > 0$ for all irreducible curves $C \subset S$.

Pf " $\Rightarrow$ " If D ample then mD very ample for some $m > 0$.

$$\varphi_{mD}: S \xrightarrow{|mD|} \mathbb{P}^N$$

$$\Rightarrow \deg S = (mD)^2 = m^2 D^2 > 0$$

$$\deg C = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1) \cdot C = mD \cdot C > 0$$

" $\Leftarrow$ " If H is a very ample divisor, then H is represented by an irreducible curve. $\Rightarrow DH > 0$ by hypothesis.

$$\begin{matrix} & & \swarrow & & \searrow \\ & & D^2 > 0 & & \\ \swarrow & & & & \searrow \\ \dim |nD| = r & & & & \end{matrix}$$

$$mD \geq 0 \text{ for some } m > 0$$

Replacing D by mD , we may assume D is effective.

So can be viewed as a curve $D \subset S$
 (possibly singular, reducible & non-reduced)

Step 1: [the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D$ is ample on D curve]

• Suffices to show $\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_{\text{red}}}$ ample on D_{red} .

$$\text{If } D = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i \text{ (} C_i \text{ irreducible curve)}$$

• Suffices to show $\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$ ample on each C_i .

$$\text{If } \nu_i: \tilde{C}_i \rightarrow C_i \text{ normalization of } C_i$$

• Suffices to show $\nu_i^*(\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i})$ ample on $\tilde{C}_i$
 (since ν_i finite surjective)

$$\deg \nu_i^*(\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}) = D \cdot C_i > 0 \Rightarrow \nu_i^*(\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}) \text{ ample on smooth curve } \tilde{C}_i$$

Step 2: $[\mathcal{O}_S(D)^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{O}_S(nD)]$ is globally generated by sections for $n \gg 0$

consider the natural sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0$$

twisted by $\mathcal{O}_S(nD)$,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((n-1)D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(nD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(nD)|_D \rightarrow 0$$

taking cohomology,

$$0 \rightarrow H^0((n-1)D) \rightarrow H^0(nD) \rightarrow H^0(nD|_D) \rightarrow H^1((n-1)D)$$

$$\dots \leftarrow H^1(nD|_D) \leftarrow H^1(nD)$$

by Step 1, $\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D = \mathcal{O}_S(D)|_D$ ample on D

$$\Downarrow$$

$$H^1(nD|_D) = 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \dim H^1((n-1)D) \geq \dim H^1(nD) \\ \text{both vector spaces are finite-dim} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} h^1((n-1)D) \\ \parallel \\ h^1(nD) \end{array}$$

for $n \gg 0$

$$H^0(nD) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(nD|_D) \text{ for } n \gg 0. (*)$$

by Step 1, $\mathcal{O}_S(D)|_D$ ample on D

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(nD)|_D$ generated by global sections for $n \gg 0$.

$\Downarrow (*)$

these sections lift to global sections of $\mathcal{O}_S(nD)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_S(nD) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S(nD)|_D \\ \text{⊗} & & \text{⊗} \quad \text{⊗} \\ H^0(nD) & \longrightarrow & H^0(nD|_D) \otimes \text{⊗} \end{array}$$

More precisely, can find global sections s_i

$$t_1, \dots, t_k \in H^0(nD|_D)$$

such that for each $x \in D$, at least one of the t_i does not vanish at x .

Now lifting these sections to $t'_1, \dots, t'_k \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(nD))$

& adding a section $t'_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(nD))$ s.t. $\text{div}(t'_0) = nD$

Then we get $(k+1)$ global sections in $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(nD))$ s.t.

for $\forall x \in S$, at least one of these sections does not vanish at x .

□

Fix an n s.t. $\mathcal{O}_S(nD)$ globally generated. $\leadsto$ a morphism $\varphi_{|nD|}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$
Step 3: $\varphi_{|nD|} \parallel \varphi$

[the morphism $\varphi: S \xrightarrow{|nD|} \mathbb{P}^N$ has finite fibres]

Otherwise, $\exists$ an irreducible curve $C \subset S$ with $\varphi(C) = \text{pt.}$

In this case, taking a hyperplane $\mathcal{O}(1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^N$ not through this point,

then $\varphi^* \mathcal{O}(1) \cdot C = \emptyset$ $\varphi^* \mathcal{O}(1) \cap C = \emptyset$
 $\parallel$
 $nD \cdot C$
 i.e. $\varphi^* \mathcal{O}(1) \cdot C = 0$
 $\parallel$
 $nD \cdot C$

Step 4: [conclusion]

projective morphism + quasi-finite $\Rightarrow$ finite morphism

$\Rightarrow \varphi$ is actually a finite morphism.

$\Rightarrow \varphi^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ is ample on S
 $\parallel$
 $\mathcal{O}_S(nD)$

$\Rightarrow D$ ample on S



§2 Nef line bundles

Def Set-up: X Complete Variety / Scheme
 L line bundle on X

Def • L is numerically effective / nef if $\int_C c_1(L) \geq 0$
 $\parallel$
 $L \cdot [C]$

for $\forall$ irreducible curve $C \subset X$.

• a Cartier divisor D on X is nef if $D \cdot C \geq 0$
for $\forall$ curve $C \subset X$.

Rmk the terminology "nef" introduced by Miles Reid, it's an abbreviation for "numerically eventually  free".

Formal properties of nefness:

① $f: X \rightarrow Y$ proper morphism

If L nef on Y , then f^*L nef on X

In particular, if $X \subseteq Y$ subscheme, then $L|_X$ nef on X .

② If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ surjective, proper morphism &
 f^*L nef on X

then the line bundle L nef on Y .

③ L nef on $X \iff L$ nef on X_{red}

④ L nef on $X \iff L|_{X_i}$ nef on each irred. component $X_i \subseteq X$.

THEOREM (Kleiman's theorem)

X Complete variety / scheme

If D nef $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on X , then $D^k \cdot V \geq 0$ for $\forall$
irreducible subvariety $V \subseteq X$ of $\dim k$.

If L nef line bundle on X , then $\int_V c_1(L)^{\dim V} \geq 0$

[nef divisors = "limits of ample divisors"]

Cor X projective var / scheme,

D nef $\mathbb{R}$ -div on X

If H is any ample $\mathbb{R}$ -div. on X , then $D + \epsilon \cdot H$ ample for

$\forall \epsilon > 0$

Conversely, if D, H two divisors s.t. $D + \varepsilon H$ ample for
 $\forall$ sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$

then D is nef.

Pf. If $D + \varepsilon H$ ample for $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$(D + \varepsilon H) \cdot C > 0 \text{ for } \forall \text{ irred. curve } C \subset X$$

$$\parallel$$

$$D \cdot C + \varepsilon H \cdot C$$

taking limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, one has

$$D \cdot C \geq 0 \Rightarrow D \text{ nef.}$$

Conversely, if D nef & H ample. Replacing εH by H ,
 suffices to show $D + H$ ample. To this end,

the main point is to verify that $D + H$ satisfies the Nakai-type
 inequalities: $(D + H)^{\dim V} \cdot V > 0$ for $\forall$ positive-dim' irred. subvar. $V \subseteq X$.
 If $D + H \equiv_{\text{num}} a \mathbb{Q}$ -divisor, this $\Rightarrow D + H$ ample
 In the general case, approximating $D + H$ by $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors $\Rightarrow D + H$ ample
 So fix an irred. subvariety $V \subseteq X$ of dim $k > 0$.

We infer that

$$(D + H)^k \cdot V = \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} (H^l \cdot D^{k-l} \cdot V)$$

H ample $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor $\Rightarrow H$ is a positive $\mathbb{R}$ -linear combination of
 integral ample divisors.

$\Downarrow$

$H^l \cdot V$ represented by an effective

$(k-l)$ -cycle with $\mathbb{R}$ -coefficients.

$\Downarrow$ Kleiman's thm on each comp. of the cycle

$$(D + H)^k \cdot V > 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} D^{k-l} \cdot (H^l \cdot V) \geq 0 \\ H^k \cdot V > 0 \text{ by ampleness of } H \end{cases}$$

for each V

$\Downarrow$

If $D + H$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor, then $D + H$ is ample.

It remains to prove if $D + H$ is irrational, then $D + H$ is ample

To this end, choose ample divisors $H_1, \dots, H_r$ whose classes

span $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ (space of 1-cycles on X with $\mathbb{R}$ -coefficients $\not\equiv_{\text{num}}$)
 $\uparrow$
 $\dim = \rho(X)$ i.e. all finite $\mathbb{R}$ -linear combinations of irred. curves on X modulo $\equiv_{\text{num}}$

By the open nature of ampleness, the $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor

$$H(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r) = H - \varepsilon_1 H_1 - \dots - \varepsilon_r H_r$$

is ample for all $0 \leq \varepsilon_i < 1$.

$$\{ H(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r) \mid 0 \leq \varepsilon_i < 1 \} \xrightarrow[\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{w.r.t. usual topology on the f.d.} \\ \mathbb{R}\text{-vector space } N'(X)_{\mathbb{R}}}]{\text{open}} N'(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$\Rightarrow \exists 0 < \varepsilon_i < 1$ st. $D' = D + H(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r)$ represents a rat'l class in $N'(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

$\Downarrow$
 D' ample

$\Downarrow$
 $D' + \varepsilon_1 H_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r H_r$ ample
" $D + H$

□

Cor

X projective Variety / Scheme

H ample $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on X

D a fixed $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on X

then D is ample $\Leftrightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $\frac{D \cdot C}{H \cdot C} \geq \varepsilon$
for $\forall$ irreducible curve $C \subseteq X$.

(i.e. the ampleness of a divisor is characterized by the uniformly bounded below property on its degree on any curve bounded below in terms of ~~the curve~~ the degree of the curve w.r.t. a known ample div.)

Pf. $\frac{D \cdot C}{H \cdot C} \geq \varepsilon$ for $\forall$ curve $C \Leftrightarrow D - \varepsilon H$ is nef

If $D - \varepsilon H$ nef, then $\underbrace{(D - \varepsilon H)}_{\text{nef}} + \underbrace{\varepsilon H}_{\text{ample}} = D$ ample.

If D ample, then by the open nature of ampleness, $D - \varepsilon H$ is ample for $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$. In particular, $D - \varepsilon H$ nef. □

THEOREM (Seshadri's criterion)

X projective var.

D divisor on X

then D ample $\iff \exists \epsilon > 0$ st. $\frac{D \cdot C}{\text{mult}_x C} \geq \epsilon$
for $\forall x \in X$ & $\forall$ irred. curve $C \subseteq X$ through x .

(i.e. degree of any curve is uniformly bounded below in terms of its sing.)
 $\updownarrow$
ampleness

Pf. " $\Rightarrow$ " If E_x is an effective divisor through x & meeting an irred. curve C properly, then

$$\text{mult}_x(E_x \cap C) \geq \text{mult}_x C$$

In particular $E_x \cdot C \geq \text{mult}_x C$

If D ample $\Rightarrow mD$ very ample for some $m \gg 0$.
 $\Rightarrow$ for $\forall x$ & C , one can find an effective divisor $E_x \sim_{\text{lin}} mD$ with above stated properties.

$$\Rightarrow D \cdot C \geq \frac{1}{m} \text{mult}_x(C) \quad \text{for } \forall x \text{ & } C.$$

" $\Leftarrow$ " Arguing by induction on $\dim X$
 $\parallel$
 n

We can assume that $\mathcal{O}_V(D)$ ample for $\forall$ irred. proper subvar. $V \subset X$

In particular, $D^{\dim V} \cdot V > 0$ for $\forall$ proper $V \subset X$ of positive dim.

By Nakai-Moishezon-Kleiman Criterion, Suffices to show $D^n > 0$.

To this end, fix any smooth point $x \in X$ & consider the blow-up of X at x with except'l div. $E = \mu^{-1}(x)$.

$$\mu: X' = \text{Bl}_x(X) \longrightarrow X$$

claim: the $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor $\mu^*D - \epsilon \cdot E$ is nef on X' .

Granting this claim for the moment,

$$\text{Kleiman's theorem} \Rightarrow (\mu^*D - \epsilon E)^n \geq 0$$

$$\parallel$$

$$D^n - \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow D^n > 0.$$

For the ~~neff~~ nefness of $\mu^*D - \epsilon E$.

Fix an irreducible curve $C' \subset X'$ not contained in E

& $C := \mu(C')$ s.t. C' is the proper transform of C .

$$\Rightarrow C' \cdot E = \text{mult}_x C$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^* D \cdot C' & \stackrel{\text{(by hypothesis)}}{=} & D \cdot C \\ \text{P.F.} & \geq & \varepsilon \cdot \text{mult}_x C \\ & & \parallel \\ & & \varepsilon \cdot EC' \end{array}$$

$\Downarrow$

$$(\mu^* D - \varepsilon E) \cdot C' \geq 0. (*)$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_E(E)$ is a negative line bundle on the projective space E

(*) holds for $C' \subset E$
curve.

$$\Rightarrow \mu^* D - \varepsilon E \text{ is nef.}$$



§3 Big line bundles

X : irreducible projective variety / $\mathbb{C}$

L : line bundle on X

If for some ~~non-negative~~ ^{positive} integer $m > 0$, $H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \neq 0$

Consider the rational map associated to $|L^{\otimes m}|$

$$\varphi_m = \varphi_{|L^{\otimes m}|} : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{h^0(L^{\otimes m})-1}$$

[Iitaka dim]

Def • Assume X is normal, define the Iitaka dimension of L to be

$$\kappa(L) := \max_{\substack{H^0(L^{\otimes m}) \neq 0 \\ m > 0}} \{ \dim \varphi_m(X) \}$$

$\parallel$
 $\kappa(X, L)$

If for all $m > 0$, $H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) = 0$, define

$$\kappa(X, L) := -\infty.$$

• For non-normal variety X , pass to its normalization

$\nu: X' \rightarrow X$ & put

$$\kappa(X, L) := \kappa(X', \nu^*L)$$

• For a Cartier divisor D on X , set

$$\kappa(X, D) := \kappa(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)).$$

Summary One has either $\kappa(X, L) = -\infty$
or $0 \leq \kappa(X, L) \leq \dim X$

Def [Kodaira dim]

• X smooth projective variety, K_X its canonical divisor.

$\kappa(X, K_X)$ called the Kodaira dimension of X
"
 $\kappa(X)$

• X singular var.

$\kappa(X) := \kappa(X')$ where X' is any smooth model of X

Kodaira dimension is the most basic birational invariant of a var.

Semi-ample line bundles

Def a line bundle L on a complete scheme is semiample if $L^{\otimes m}$ is globally generated for some $m > 0$.

a divisor D is semiample if $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is so.

Def an algebraic fibre space is a surjective projective morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of reduced, irred. var. such that $f_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$
 $\uparrow$
 (f has connected fibres)

lemma (pullbacks via a fibre space)

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ an algebraic fibre space
 L line bundle on Y
 then $H^0(X, f^* L^{\otimes m}) = H^0(Y, L^{\otimes m})$ for $\forall m \geq 0$
 In particular, $\chi(X, f^* L) = \chi(Y, L)$

Pf $H^0(X, f^* L^{\otimes m}) = H^0(Y, f_* (f^* L^{\otimes m})) \xrightarrow{\text{P.F.}} H^0(Y, f_* \mathcal{O}_X \otimes L^{\otimes m})$
 $\parallel \leftarrow f \text{ alg fibre sp}$
 $H^0(Y, L^{\otimes m}) \quad \square$

lemma (injectivity of Picard groups)

X, Y irred. projective var.
 $f: X \rightarrow Y$ algebraic fibre space
 then $f^*: \text{Pic } Y \rightarrow \text{Pic } X$ is injective

Pf. Suppose L a line bundle on Y s.t. $f^* L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$.

$$\text{then } H^0(Y, L) = H^0(X, f^* L) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$$

$$H^0(Y, L^\vee) = H^0(X, f^* L^\vee) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow L \cong \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

lemma (normality of fibre spaces)

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ algebraic fibre space
 if X normal, then Y is also normal

Pf let $v: Y' \rightarrow Y$ be the normalization of Y .
 then f factors through v .

f fibre space $\Rightarrow v$ must be an isom.

$\square$

[Q-divisors] $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors & $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors

Def ($\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors)

X alg var./scheme, a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X is an element of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space $\text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) := \text{Div}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$
represent a $\mathbb{Q}$ -div. $D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ as a finite sum

$$D = \sum c_i D_i \quad \text{with } c_i \in \mathbb{Q}, D_i \in \text{Div}(X) \text{ integral Cartier div.}$$

by clearing denominators, can write

$$D = cA$$

for a single ratl number $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ & an integral divisor A

If $c \neq 0$, then $cA = 0 \Leftrightarrow A$ is a torsion element of $\text{Div}(X)$.

a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor D is integral if it is in the image of the natural map $\text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$

The $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor D is effective if it is of the form

$$D = \sum c_i D_i$$

with $c_i \geq 0$ & D_i effective.

Def (Support)

$$D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \text{ a } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor}$$

Say a codim 1 subset $E \subseteq X$ is a support of D if

D admits a representation $D = \sum c_i D_i$ with

$$\bigcup_i \text{Supp } D_i \subseteq E.$$

Def (equivalences & operations on $\mathbb{Q}$ -div.)

X complete var./scheme.

① given a subvar/subscheme $V \subseteq X$ of pure dim k .

a $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued intersection product

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \times \dots \times \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ (D_1, \dots, D_k) &\longmapsto \int_V D_1 \cdots D_k \\ &\quad \parallel \\ &\quad D_1 \cdots D_k \cdot [V] \end{aligned}$$

induced from the intersection prod. on $\text{Div}(X)$.

② two $\mathbb{Q}$ -div. D_1, D_2 are numerically equivalent, $D_1 \equiv_{\text{num}} D_2$ if $D_1 \cdot C = D_2 \cdot C$ for $\forall$ curve $C \subseteq X$.

$$N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) / \equiv_{\text{num}}$$

③ two $\mathbb{Q}$ -div. D_1, D_2 are linearly equivalent, $D_1 \sim_{\text{lin}, \mathbb{Q}} D_2$
 if $\exists$ integer m s.t. mD_1 & mD_2 integral & linearly
 equivalent as integral Cartier divisors.

④ (pullbacks)

let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ morph. s.t. the image of every associated
 subvar. of X meets a support of $D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y)$ properly,
 then $f^*D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ induced from pullbacks on integral div.

$$\leadsto f^*: N'(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow N'(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Def (ampleness for $\mathbb{Q}$ -div.)

$D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor.

D is ample if any one of the following 3 equivalent conditions holds:

- ① D is of the form $D = \sum c_i D_i$ where $\begin{cases} c_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \\ D_i \text{ ample Cartier div.} \end{cases}$
- ② $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ s.t. mD integral & ample
- ③ D satisfies Nakai-type ineq:
 $(D^{\dim V} \cdot V) > 0$ for $\forall$ irred. subvar. $V \subseteq X$ of positive dim.

Prop (ampleness is an open condition)

X projective var, H ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -div. on X
 E arbitrary $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor.

then $H + \varepsilon E$ ample for $\forall$ suff. small rat'l numbers
 $0 \leq |\varepsilon| \ll 1$

More generally, given finitely many $\mathbb{Q}$ -div. $E_1, \dots, E_r$ on X
 $H + \varepsilon_1 E_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r E_r$ is ample for all suff. small
 rational numbers $0 \leq |\varepsilon_i| \ll 1$.

Pf. clearing denominators. WMA H & each E_i are integral.

by taking $m \gg 0$, we can ~~arrange for~~ arrange that

$\underbrace{mH \pm E_1, \dots, mH \pm E_r}_{2r \text{ divisors}}$ are ample.

Now if $|\varepsilon_i| \ll 1$, can write $H + \varepsilon_1 E_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r E_r$ as
 a positive $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of H & some of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -div.

$H \pm \frac{1}{m} E_i$. $\Downarrow$ positive $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -div.

$H + \varepsilon_1 E_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r E_r$ ample $\square$

[$\mathbb{R}$ -divisors]

$$\text{Div}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) := \text{Div}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$$

$\uparrow$
 $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors on X

If $D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, can write $D = \sum c_i D_i$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$
 $D_i \in \text{Div}(X)$

$D \equiv_{\text{num}, \mathbb{R}} 0$, if $D \cdot C = 0$ for $\forall$ curve $C \subset X$.

$$N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} := \text{Div}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) / \equiv_{\text{num}, \mathbb{R}}$$

D is effective, if $D = \sum c_i D_i$ with $c_i \geq 0$ & D_i effective

Def (ampleness for $\mathbb{R}$ -div.)

X complete scheme/var.

an $\mathbb{R}$ -div. D on X is ample if $D = \sum c_i D_i$
 with $c_i > 0$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ & D_i ample Cartier divisors.

As before, ampleness depends only on $\equiv_{\text{num}}$ classes.

Prop (Openness of ampleness for $\mathbb{R}$ -div.)

X projective var, H ample $\mathbb{R}$ -div. on X .

given finitely many $\mathbb{R}$ -div. $E_1, \dots, E_r$, then the $\mathbb{R}$ -div.

$$H + \varepsilon_1 E_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r E_r$$

is ample for $\forall$ suff. small real numbers $0 \leq |\varepsilon_i| \ll 1$.

Pf... When H & each E_i are rat'l, it's true by openness nature of ampleness for $\mathbb{Q}$ -div.

• each E_i is a finite $\mathbb{R}$ -linear combination of integral div.

WLOG assume all the E_i are integral.

Write $H = \sum c_i D_i$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ & D_i integral, ample.

Fix a rational number $0 < c < c_1$, then

$$H + \sum \varepsilon_i E_i = \underbrace{\left(c D_1 + \sum \varepsilon_i E_i \right)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{by the case already treated} \\ \text{it is ample}}} + \underbrace{(c_1 - c) D_1}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{ample}}} + \sum_{i \geq 2} \underbrace{c_i D_i}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{ample}}}$$

□

Big line bundles & divisors

Def a line bundle L on an irreducible projective var. X is big if $\chi(X, L) = \dim X$

a Cartier divisor $D \subset X$ is big if $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is so.

Def a sm proj variety X is said to be of general type if

$$\chi(X) = \dim X$$

$$\Leftrightarrow K_X \text{ is big}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for } d \gg 0, |dK_X| \text{ defines a birational map onto its image (a priori)}$$

Lemma X : projective variety of $\dim n$

D a divisor on X

then D is big $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ a constant $c > 0$ s.t.

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) \geq c \cdot m^n$$

for all suff. large m s.t. $h^0(mD) \neq 0$

Def (Section ring of a line bundle)

L : line bundle on a projective var. X

the graded ring / section ring associated to L is the graded $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra

$$\begin{array}{c} R(L) := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \\ \parallel \\ R(X, L) \end{array}$$

D Cartier divisor on X , $R(D) := R(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$.

say a line bundle L is finitely generated if its section ring $R(X, L)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$ -alg.

THEOREM (Zariski)

| L semi-ample line bundle on a normal projective var. X
| then L is finitely generated.

Theorem (theorem of Zariski-Fujita)

| L : line bundle on a projective variety X
| if $Bs|L|$ is a finite set, then L is semi-ample,
| that is $L^{\otimes m}$ is free for some $m > 0$

Hodge index theorem

S : nonsingular projective surface / $k = \bar{k}$

lemma 1 | D_1, D_2 two divisors on S

$E_2 \in |D_2|$

then the map $|D_1| \longrightarrow |D_1 + D_2|$

$E \longmapsto E + E_2$

is injective.

In particular, $\dim |D_1| \leq \dim |D_1 + D_2|$.

Pf. an easy consequence of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(E + E_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_2}(E + E_2) \rightarrow 0$$

lemma 2 | D : a divisor on S with $D^2 > 0$

H : a hyperplane section of S

then exactly one of the following two statements holds:

① $D \cdot H > 0$ & $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \dim |nD| = +\infty$

② $D \cdot H < 0$ & $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \dim |nD| = +\infty$

If $D^2 > 0$, then
either nD
or $-nD$
is linearly eq. to
a nonzero effective div.
for $n \gg 0$

or

Pf. by Riemann-Roch,

$$\chi(nD) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + \frac{1}{2}((nD)^2 - nD \cdot K_S)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \parallel & & \parallel \\ h^0(nD) - h^1(nD) + h^2(K_S - nD) & & \frac{1}{2}n^2(D^2) - \frac{1}{2}nDK_S + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim |nD| + \dim |K_S - nD| \geq \frac{1}{2}n^2D^2 - \frac{1}{2}nDK_S + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - 2$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$+\infty \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \pm\infty)$$

Claim 1: cannot have both $\dim |nD| \rightarrow +\infty$ & $\dim |K_S - nD| \rightarrow +\infty$
as $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$

Indeed, otherwise for $n \gg 0$ (or $n \ll 0$), $\exists E \in |nD|$

by lemma 1, $\dim |K_S - nD| \leq \dim |K_S| \leq$
 $\downarrow$ $\parallel$
 $+\infty$ $h^1(S) - 1$

□

Claim 2 | $\dim |nD|$ cannot tend to $+\infty$
for both $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $n \rightarrow -\infty$

Indeed, if $|nD| \neq \emptyset$ for some $n > 0$, then $HD > 0$. ^{H ample}

Then $|nD| = \emptyset$ for all $n < 0$. (otherwise we would have $HD < 0 \nless$) □

Claim 3 | $\dim |K_S - nD|$ cannot tend to $+\infty$, for both $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $n \rightarrow -\infty$.

Indeed, otherwise for $n \gg 0$, $\exists E \in |K_S - nD|$

By lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim |K_S + nD + K_S - nD| &\geq \dim |K_S + nD| \\ &\parallel \\ \dim |2K_S| &\downarrow \\ &+\infty \quad \text{(as } n \rightarrow -\infty) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The lemma 2 follows from above three claims. $\square$

Corollary 3

D : divisor on S
 H : a hyperplane section on S s.t. $D \cdot H = 0$
 then $D^2 \leq 0$, and $D^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow D \equiv_{\text{num}} 0$.

Pf. if $D^2 > 0$, by lemma 2, either $HD > 0$ or $HD < 0$ &

• if D not numerically equivalent to zero, then $\exists$ divisor $E \in S$ s.t. $D \cdot E \neq 0$.

Put $\tilde{E} := (H^2)E - (HE)H$, then

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{E}D = H^2(ED) - (HE)\underline{(HD)} = H^2(ED) \neq 0 \\ \tilde{E}H = H^2(HE) - (HE)\underline{H^2} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Now consider $\tilde{D} := \tilde{E} + nD$, we have

$$\begin{cases} H\tilde{D} = H\tilde{E} + nHD = 0 \\ \tilde{D}^2 = (\tilde{E} + nD)^2 = \tilde{E}^2 + 2n\tilde{E}D + \underline{n^2D^2} = \tilde{E}^2 + 2n\tilde{E}D \end{cases}$$

if $\tilde{E}D > 0$, taking $n \gg 0$
 (resp. $\tilde{E}D < 0$) (resp. $n \ll 0$)

We get

$$\tilde{D}^2 > 0 \text{ \& } H\tilde{D} = 0$$

(contradict to lemma 2). $\square$

(Hodge Index Theorem)

D, E two divisors on surface S

$$D^2 > 0, DE = 0$$

then $E^2 \leq 0$, and $E^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow E \equiv_{\text{num}} 0$.

Pf. $NS(S)_{\mathbb{R}} = NS(S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is a ρ -dim'l $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space

by Néron-Severi theorem, here $\rho = \rho(S)$ is the Picard #

Clearly, the intersection pairing $(-,-)$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $NS(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

denote by h the class in $NS(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of a hyperplane section of S .

We complete h to a $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of $NS(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$, say

$h_1 = h, h_2, \dots, h_{\rho}$ such that $h \cdot h_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.

(this can be done, since if $h \cdot h_2 \neq 0$, taking $h'_2 = \frac{h \cdot h_2}{h^2} h - h_2$ then $h \cdot h'_2 = 0$ & $\{h, h_2\}$ and $\{h, h'_2\}$ are $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly equivalent.)

By Corollary 3, the intersection pairing $(-,-)$ has signature $(1, \rho-1)$ in this basis.

+1 -1

Then the desired result follows from Sylvester's theorem on the invariance of signature of symmetric bilinear forms on $NS(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

(Weak form of Kleiman criterion)

If D is a nef divisor, then $\begin{cases} D^2 \geq 0 & \& \\ D + \varepsilon H \text{ is ample for } \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$

Pf. Consider the quadratic polynomial

$$P(t) = (D + tH)^2$$

then $P(t)$ is a continuous increasing function of $t \in \mathbb{Q}$,

& $P(t) = t^2 H^2 + 2tHD + D^2 > 0$ for $t \gg 0$.

Claim: let $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, then $P(t) > 0 \Rightarrow P(\frac{t}{2}) > 0$.

Indeed, $\left. \begin{matrix} (D + tH)^2 > 0 \\ H(D + tH) > 0 \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow n(D + tH) \sim_{\text{lin.}} \text{effective divisor for suitable } n \gg 0$

Then D nef $\Rightarrow D(D + tH) \geq 0$

$\Downarrow$

$$(D + \frac{t}{2}H)^2 = D(D + tH) + (\frac{t}{2})^2 H^2 > 0$$

by above claim, $D^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(\frac{t}{2^n}) \geq 0$

For the 2nd statement, by Nakai-Moishezon criterion,

Suffices to check that $(D + \varepsilon H)^2 > 0$
 $(D + \varepsilon H) \cdot C > 0$ for $\forall$ irred. curve $C \subset S$.

- D nef, H ample, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \Rightarrow (D + \varepsilon H) \cdot C > 0$ for $\forall$ curve C
- for $\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ s.t. $\varepsilon > \frac{t_0}{2^m}$ here $p(t) > 0$
 then $(D + \varepsilon H)^2 > 0$ follows from the fact that $P(t)$ is a continuous increasing function of $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $t > 0$. & above claim.

□

by Hodge index theorem, $((DE)D - (D^2)E)^2 \leq 0$
 & $((DE)D - (D^2)E)^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow (DE)D - D^2E \equiv_{\text{num}} 0$

taking $r = \frac{DE}{D^2}$.

□

Corollary 4

D, E two divisors on surface S

$$D^2 \geq 0$$

then $D^2 E^2 \leq (DE)^2$ i.e. $\begin{vmatrix} D^2 & DE \\ DE & E^2 \end{vmatrix} \leq 0$

and the equality holds $\Leftrightarrow \exists r \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $E \equiv_{\text{num}} rD$.

Pf If $D^2 = 0$, there is nothing to prove

If $D^2 > 0$, note that

$$D((DE)D - (D^2)E) = 0$$